



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,779	03/23/2004	John Gerard Speare	MS#304047.01 (5226)	2381

38779 7590 04/21/2008

SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT)  
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, 16TH FLOOR  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/806,779	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SPEARE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TED T. VO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2191	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 01/28/2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/Ted T. Vo/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2191

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

This is the explanation for the check box of paragraph 4:

Appellant fails to provide a summary of the claimed subject matter that was either useful or accurate.

Appellants' principle of appeal clearly deny the reference that discloses the claim feature

["replacing a portion of the content of the electronic document with an indicating piece of data such that the content of the electronic document is modified" if the content of the electronic document has exceeded the rights management protections].

and

[deleting the content of the electronic document such that

the content of the electronic document is unavailable for use in accordance with the obtained

use license]

The arguments for the reference teaching clearly put on the language absence in the reference by addressing no where in the reference with "replacing" and "deleting"

Appellants merely cite several portions as of Figure 7 and paragraphs [0043] without any explanations. the citations of the Appellants appear do not address accurately the limitations of the claims.

The summary, accordingly, fails to meet the requirements in which it should contain a concise and accurate explanation of the claimed subject matters, especially, the subject matters which are as for appealing..